

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/613,074	07/07/2003	Kazushi Tomita	F05-155619M/ARK	8536	
7590 : 09/07/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC			MCMAHON, MARGUERITE J		
Suite 200 8321 Old Cour	thouse Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Vienna, VA 22182-3817			3747		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	
	10	/613,074	TOMITA ET AL.	( )
Office Action Summ	nary Exa	aminer	Art Unit	
•	Ma	rguerite J. McMahon	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the miles - Failure to reply within the set or extended period and reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION.  provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  of this communication.  nan thirty (30) days, a reply within  naximum statutory period will app  od for reply will, by statute, cause  ee months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is in conclused in accordance with the</li> </ul>	2b)⊠ This action for allowance e	except for formal mat		nerits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed 6)  Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are ring 7)  Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to 8)  Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdrawn fro ed. ejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is ob	_ is/are: a)☐ accepted any objection to the drawing the correction is	ng(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified	ne of: priority documents have priority documents have copies of the priority denternational Bureau (PC)	ve been received. ve been received in vocuments have been CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			•	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/03.</li> </ol>		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action S		Part of Paper No./Mail Date	20040903

Application/Control Number: 10/613,074

Art Unit: 3747

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. Note the liberal use of the term "and for" which is frequently utilized inappropriately and vaguely, since the subject of the phrase is frequently vague.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Funai et al (6,029,638). Note in Figure 11 an oil tank 59, a first breather chamber 18a, a second breather chamber 61h, a first pump 65, and a second pump 64.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,074

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Funai et al (6,029,638). Funai et al show everything except they are silent as to the pumping capacity of the first and second pumps. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to provide a second oil pump with a larger pumping power than the first pump, in order to produce a vacuum pressure in the crankcase, as it is conventionally necessary to provide a vacuum pressure in the crankcase in order to for the engine to function properly and would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marguerite J. McMahon whose telephone number is 703-308-1956. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on 703-308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3747

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

MARGUERITE MCMAHON PRIMARY EXAMINER